Assessment of Sputum Quality and Its Importance in the Rapid Diagnosis of Pulmonary Tuberculosis
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Abstract

Background: Sputum smear microscopy is the gold standard method for the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis. Poor quality of sputum might result in missing the diagnosis. In the present study, we aimed at assessing the microscopic quality of sputum with reference to the number of pus cells and squamous epithelial cells observed on Gram’s stained smears as an indirect marker.

Methods: A total number of 200 sputum specimens were collected from 91 suspected TB patients and were subjected to ZN staining, LJ culture to out the acid fast bacilli; gram’s staining and Blood agar culture for lower respiratory tract infections.

Results: Of 200 specimens, 59 from 28 patients were positive for acid fast bacilli. Based on the number of pus cells and squamous epithelial cells, specimens were categorized into four groups. Group 1 (sputum) was significantly associated with ZN smear positivity and Group 4 (saliva) with smear negativity (p<0.001). The specimens with >25 pus cells per field (including group 1&2) were significantly associated with ZN and LJ culture positivity (p<0.001). The presence of >10 SE cells was not associated with smear negativity (p>0.1).

Conclusion: Group 1 (sputum) was associated with ZN smear positivity and Group 4 (saliva) was associated with smear negativity. Pus cells were proved as an indirect marker for sputum quality assessment. Sputum samples with more number of pus cells (regardless of the group) were significantly associated with ZN and LJ culture positivity. So, proper patient education before obtaining the sputum sample and timely monitoring during collection time can be helpful to obtain good quality sputum to enhance the TB disease diagnostic yield.
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Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the highly infectious diseases across the world with 10.4 million incidences and 1.4 million deaths [1]. In India, 2.8 million TB cases were occurred [1]. Microscopic examination of sputum smears has been the mainstay of diagnosis in DOTS (Directly Observed Treatment Short course) programme in India. Despite of DOTS strategy for early diagnosis and improved case detection, half of the active TB cases are found to be negative by sputum smear examination [2]. Diagnosis of these cases has been done based on either clinical or radiological symptoms. Moreover, sputum smear results form a critical base for diagnosis and treatment follow-up of TB including the decision of cure. However, microscopy has been known to be less sensitive as compared to other techniques such as culture due to inherent reasons, and the presence of few bacilli too less to detect or inadequate specimen quality. Hence, it is essential to improve the sensitivity of this simple and important technique. In the current DOTS guidelines, specimen quality is being judged based on macroscopic observation of the sputum [3]. Previous reports on the utility of microscopic examination for judging the sputum quality was meant for cultures [4]; more so, while diagnosing lower respiratory tract infections such as pneumonia [5]. These studies were based on the number and proportion of inflammatory/pus cells (PC) and squamous epithelial cells (SEC) as sputum quality indicators. This quantification has been shown to provide an estimate of the oropharyngeal contamination of sputum as a surrogate marker for the specimen quality [6]. However, there is limited information on applying such criteria during sputum smear microscopy for TB [4]. Hence our study aimed to assess the microscopic quality of sputum on the smear microscopy results under a DOTS clinic setting.

Methods

Sputum specimens collected from suspected TB patients attending a semi-urban DOTS Clinic (Designated Microscopy Centre-DMC) at Hyderabad, between July and Oct 2007, were studied. Three consecutive samples from each patient (spot, home and spot: sputum sample collected at the clinic is referred to as the spot sample and the early morning sputum sample...
collected at home on 2nd day called as home sample) were collected over two days as per the Revised National Tuberculosis Control Programme (RNTCP) guidelines [3]. All the samples were subjected to routine Ziehl Neelsen (ZN) staining and culturing on Lowenstein Jensen’s (LJ) media as recommended [3]. In addition to this, Gram’s staining was carried out separately to enumerate the presence and proportion of PC and SEC as indicators to assess sputum quality and to find out the organisms involved [7]. All sputum specimens were also subjected to Blood agar medium to find out the presence of gram positive, gram negative and fastidious microorganisms as well. In brief, Gram’s stained sputum smears were examined under the 10X objective; the average number of PC and SEC from three consecutive fields was recorded [8]. Sputum smear having an average number of <10 SEC and >25 PC/field was considered as good quality and smear with >10SEC and <25 PC/field was treated poor quality. SPSS software (Version 20) was used for data analysis to find out the correlation and significance.

Results

A series of 200 sputum specimens were collected from 91 suspected TB patients (39 patients provided three samples, 31 patients provided two samples and 21 provided only one sample). Out of 200 specimens, 67 were from home and 133 were spot samples. Out of 200, 59 sputum samples from 28 patients were positive for acid fast bacilli. Of 59 positives, 20 were home (34%) and 39 from spot specimens (66%). All ZN smear positives were also positive on LJ culture.

Table 1: Categorization of sputum quality in context of ZN smear microscopy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of specimens N=200</th>
<th>ZN positive</th>
<th>ZN negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the number of PC and SEC, specimens were categorized into four groups ranging from sputum (Group 1) to saliva (Group 4) as described in Table 1. Of 200 samples, 56 were from Group 1 and among them 25 (43%) were ZN as well as LJ culture positive and remaining samples were negative. In Group 2 (MEMP), out of 61 specimens, 23 showed ZN smear and culture positivity. Thirty three lies in group 3 (LELP) and of them only five were positive to ZN and the rest were negative. Group 4 (saliva) results revealed that out of 50 samples, six were ZN positive and 44 were ZN negative. Group 1 considered to be good quality sputum and Group 4 as poor quality of sputum (Figure 1). The statistical analysis revealed that Group 1 was significantly associated with ZN smear positivity, whereas Group 4 with smear negativity (p<0.001). The specimens with >25 pus cells per field (group 1&2) were significantly associated with ZN and LJ culture positivity (p<0.001), whereas the presence of >10 SE cells was not associated with smear negativity (p>0.1) (Table 2). There was no association found between smear positivity and site of collection (p>0.1).

Moreover, gram staining results revealed that the presence of mixture of gram positive, gram negative cocci or bacilli. All the sputum samples were further cultured on blood agar media and out of 200 specimens, 195 were grown on blood agar culture. Blood agar culture has revealed the presence of the mixture of different bacteria with various morphological types i.e., streptococcus, staphylococcus, diplococcus, and some hemolytic streptococcus. Interestingly, gram positive coccobacilli were significantly associated with group 1 and 2 (p<0.001).

Table 2: Correlation of PCs and SECs with ZN smear positivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N=164</th>
<th>ZN Positive N=55</th>
<th>ZN Negative N=109</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous epithelial cells (p&gt;0.1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25PC: Associated with ZN smear &amp; culture positivity &gt;10SEC: Not associated with ZN smear &amp; culture negetivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Sputum smear microscopy is rapid and cost effective method for diagnosis of pulmonary TB and the accuracy of this test lies in the quality of the sputum provided by the suspected TB patient. In a recent systemic review on sputum quality assessment has revealed that sputum quality assessments are urgently needed to enhance the diagnostic yield and as well as to prevent the wasteful testing’s [9,10]. In the present study, we assessed the sputum quality with regard to smear positivity. Current guidelines follow the microscopic observation of specimen quality as part of the routine sputum examination [3]. However, this exercise vowing to its subjective nature may not reflect the actual specimen quality required for detection of acid fast bacilli. There are some existing reports on the sputum quality and bacteriological yield in the diagnosis of lower respiratory infections such as pneumonia [5]. According to them, the number and proportion of PC and SEC on Gram stained smears had considered as the lower respiratory tract secretions and oropharyngeal contamination respectively. Murray and Washington described the criteria for good sputum quality based on the number of SEC [6]. However, Van Scoy considered the criterion of more than 25 leucocytes regardless the number of epithelial cells per LPF (low power field) to specify the sputum quality [8]. Present study adapted both the criteria to evaluate
the sputum quality for microscopic examination of acid fast bacilli. Our findings are in conformity with those of Van Scoy [8].

Furthermore, enriched medium like blood agar medium has revealed that gram positive cocccobacilli were significantly associated with Group 1 and 2; these two groups have more than 25 pus cells. Similarly, a case study from India reported that the presence of gram positive cocccobacilli in a person with cough and fever [9]. We did not go for further identification of the organism because it deviate the study objectives (Figure 1).

In the current study, a considerable number of patients were negative to ZN smear microscopy. If they are smear negative symptomatic cases, they might have missed the microscopic diagnosis probably due to the bad quality of sputum. Apart from this, the current nucleic acid amplification tests such as Xpert MTB/RIF, Line Probe assay etc. are sputum based tests used for rapid TB diagnosis and detection of multi drug resistant TB (MDR TB) patients [10]. It indicates that macroscopic assessment of sputum quality during specimen collection time could facilitate to obtain good sputum quality by repeating the sputum collection at that moment.

Moreover, specimens from middle age group yielded good quality sputum as compared to those from both the extremes (data not shown). There was no significant difference in the positivity between the home and spot collected specimens. It is noteworthy that all ZN smear positives were also positive on LJ culture, and it indicates that the maintenance of standard operational procedure (SOP) would have helped to get accurate results.

Conclusion

In conclusion, Group 1 (good quality sputum) was associated with ZN smear positivity and group 4 (saliva) was associated with smear negativity. Pus cells were proved as an indirect marker for sputum quality assessment than squamous epithelial cells. Sputum samples with more number of PC (regardless of the group) field were significantly associated with ZN and LJ culture positivity. There was no significant association was found between SEC and smear negativity. So, this study emphasizes the need of proper patient education before obtaining the sputum sample and timely monitoring during collection time can be helpful to obtain good quality sputum to enhance the diagnostic yield of sputum based molecular diagnostic tests to facilitate timely treatment which is the main goal of TB control program.
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